UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

| | | • | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Leonel Barcenas-Nunez | Case Number | er: _ | 11-6473M | |
| present and wa | with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. as represented by counsel. I conclude e defendant pending trial in this cas | de by a preponderance of the | ring v ne evi | was held on September 7, 2011. Defendant was idence the defendant is a flight risk and order the | |
| I find by a prep | onderance of the evidence that: | FINDINGS OF FACT | | | |
| | The defendant is not a citizen of t | he United States or lawfully | adm | nitted for permanent residence. | |
| | The defendant, at the time of the | The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. | | | |
| | If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed. | | | | |
| | The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. | | | | |
| | The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. | | | | |
| | The defendant has a prior criminal history. | | | | |
| | The defendant lives/works in Mexico. | | | | |
| | The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. | | | | |
| \boxtimes | There is a record of the defendant having failures to appear and outstanding warrants. | | | | |
| | The defendant attempted to evad | e law enforcement contact b | by fle | eeing from law enforcement. | |
| | The defendant is facing a maximu | ım of | ye | ears imprisonment. | |
| The Co at the time of t | ourt incorporates by reference the many he hearing in this matter, except as | naterial findings of the Pretria noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW | | rvices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour | |
| 1. 2. | There is a serious risk that the de No condition or combination of co | fendant will flee. | sure 1 | the appearance of the defendant as required. | |
| a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S | efendant is committed to the custod acility separate, to the extent practical efendant shall be afforded a reasonal tates or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the pu | y of the Attorney General or able, from persons awaiting on able opportunity for private country or the Government, the person | r his/l or se consu on in conn | her designated representative for confinement in riving sentences or being held in custody pending altation with defense counsel. On order of a cour charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ection with a court proceeding. | |
| IT IS C deliver a copy of Court. | RDERED that should an appeal of | this detention order be filed | l with | the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric | |
| IT IS F Services suffic | URTHER ORDERED that if a releast iently in advance of the hearing be potential third party custodian. | se to a third party is to be cor fore the District Court to all | nside Iow F | ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and | |
| DATE | ED this 8 th day of Septembe | er, 2011. | | | |
| | | Jones - | | | |

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge